

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/520,249	03/07/2000	Richard Henry Weese	99-009 (RH2-0011)	9888	
7	590 07/14/2003		2	1	
	Ronald S Hermenau			EXAMINER	
Rohm and Haas Company 100 Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399			CAIN, EDWARD J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e.a.	011
	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
•	Edward Cain 1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
ri d for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
D Claim(s) 1-3, 19, 20, 23	32-39 is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
(3 Claim(s) 3 Z - 39	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 32 - 39  Claim(s) 1-3, 19, 20, 23	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Analization Denova	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	is □ approved □ disapproved.
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  hber)  nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  hber)  nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  her)  nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  her)  nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 19, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki or Kempner or Bett or Lee'319 or Bertelo or Richard.

Applicants' claims are directed to a composition comprising water and a core/shell polymer comprising acrylate monomer in the core and methacrylate monomer in the shell. Newly cited Sasaki, Kempner, Bett, Lee '319, Bertelo, and Richard all disclose composition comprising water and core/shell polymers comprising acrylate monomer in the core and methacrylate monomer in the shell. See the Abstract of each reference. See claim 1 of Sasaki. See claim 1 of Kempner. See claims 1, 3, and 5 of Bett. See claims 1 and 2 of Lee '319. See claims 1-6 of Bertelo. See claims 1, 8, and 9 of Richard.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate aqueous compositions comprising core/shell polymer according to these references, which comprise acrylate monomer in the core and methacrylate monomer in the shell. The motivation is that it is within the skill of one in the art to select suitable monomers for the core content and suitable monomers for the shell content because both acrylate monomers and methacrylate monomers are specifically recited by these references.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,249

\*Art Unit: 1714

Any argument that applicants present against these references concerning "direct addition to polyvinyl chloride" will not be persuasive. Claim 1 is directed to water and the core/shell polymer. There is no polyvinyl chloride recited in the compositions of these claims.

Applicants have argued that the references do not teach a processing aid for PVC.

Sasaki, for example, teach the optional incorporation of lubricant at column 6, line 68. This is seen or qualifying or a processing aid for PVC.

3. Claims 32-39 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cain whose telephone number is (703) 308-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9311 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Calk

\* Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

E. Cain/dh July 1, 2003